



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,951	12/10/2	001	Bernard Robert	704-010563-US(PAR)	4437
2512	7590	12/08/2003	EXAMINER		
PERMAN &			KNAUSS, SCOTT A		
425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD, CT 06824				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, -			2874	
				DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/936,951	ROBERT ET AL.				
That is a second of the second	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott A Knauss	2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply e later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office actions or				
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Cl  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in				
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
		noo NOTE holowly				
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);</li> </ul>						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appear by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for rapplication in condition for allowance because: See	econsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(sexplanation of how the new or amended claims won	s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b)[ uld be rejected is provided belov	⊠ will be entered and an w or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>17-20,23 and 29-32</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16,21,22,24-28 and 33</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.				
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement						
0. Other:	fl-					
	HEMANG SANGHAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER	Scott Knauss Art Unit 2874				

Continuation of 5: does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner is not convinced that the method limitations structurally differentiate the claimed invention from the prior art..